

# Correctness by Construction

## First Event-B Exercise Sheet

Deadline: Friday, February 27<sup>th</sup> 2026, 23:59

Manuel Carro

[manuel.carro@upm.es](mailto:manuel.carro@upm.es)

Monday, February 16<sup>th</sup>, 2026

### General Remarks

- This exercise sheet is individual.
- Please make sure you have read the [course policy](#).
- **Please make sure that your solutions contain an explicit answer to the questions asked.**
- Please turn in the answers to this exercise sheet no later than **Friday, February 27<sup>th</sup> 2026, 23:59**.
- If you experience problems with the assignment, please let me know as soon as possible. It may not be possible to implement last-minute changes / adaptations.
- To turn in the homework you can:
  - **Preferably** send me a PDF file.
  - Alternatively, send me a **good** scan of a (handwritten) solution, in PDF format. Please make sure that it is readable and, if you scanned the page, that it is not too dark, as this makes reading solutions difficult.
- **Please do not send me Word, LibreOffice, Pages, etc. documents.** They may not be reproduced faithfully in all systems.
- Please make sure to **include your name** in the document you send!

# 1 Check Proof

[1 pt.]

Check whether the proof of the sequent

$$x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vee x < 8 \vdash \neg(x = 10)$$

(see below) is correct. If it is not, please let me know where there are mistakes and propose corrections (including, if necessary, a new proof tree). Be clear as to whether in your opinion the sequent is valid or not.

$$\frac{\frac{x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vdash x > 10}{x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vee x < 8 \vdash x > 10} \quad \frac{x \in \mathbb{N}, x < 8 \vdash x < 10}{x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vee x < 8 \vdash x < 10}}{\frac{x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vee x < 8 \vdash x > 10 \vee x < 10}{x \in \mathbb{N}, x > 11 \vee x < 8 \vdash \neg(x = 10)}}$$

<pre> Event INIT   a, r = 0, b end </pre>	<pre> Event Progress   when     r &gt;= c   then     r, a := r - c, a + 1   end </pre>	<pre> Event Finish   when     r &lt; c   then     skip   end </pre>
<p><b>Axioms</b></p> <p><math>A_1: b \in \mathbb{N}</math></p> <p><math>A_2: c &gt; 0</math></p>	<p><b>Invariants</b></p> <p><math>I_1: a \in \mathbb{N}</math></p> <p><math>I_2: r \in \mathbb{N}</math></p> <p><math>I_3: b = a \times c + r</math></p>	

Figure 1: Dividing by repeated subtraction

## 2 Variations on *Integer Division Using Subtraction*

We proved that the formulas we posited as invariants for the Event B model in Fig. 1 were indeed invariants. Your task is to determine which invariant preservation proofs (if any) would have failed in each of the following cases (every item below corresponds to a different, separate situation):

1. If we modify invariant  $I_2$  to be  $I_2: r > 0$ . [0.75 pt.]
2. If we modify invariant  $I_3$  to be  $I_3: a \times c - r = b$ . [0.75 pt.]
3. If we do not include  $c > 0$  among the axioms. [0.5 pt.]

You can either:

- a) Find a compelling reason why the proof(s) still hold, or
- b) redo the proof(s) and show that they are valid, or
- c) **find out a counterexample** (a scenario / variable valuation that is consistent with the hypotheses but makes the goal false), or
- d) **redo the proofs** and show where it would be obviously impossible to prove the goal.

<pre> Event INITIALISATION   i := n   r := 0   a := 1 end </pre>	<pre> Event Finish   when i = 0   then     skip   end </pre>	<pre> Event Progress   when i &gt; 0   then     r := r + a     a := a + 2     i := i - 1   end </pre>
--	--	---

Figure 2: Model of an algorithm to square a natural number.

### 3 An Odd Way to Calculate $n^2$

Given a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we are asked to calculate its square  $r$ , i.e.,  $r = n^2$  (with the usual definition of square). The Event B model in Fig. 2 (hopefully) leaves in  $r$  the value  $n^2$  for a given  $n$  when the Finish event is enabled.

Your tasks are:

1. Identify the constants and variables. [0.5 pt.]
2. Determine axioms and suitable invariants. Please take into account point 5, below, to determine invariants. [0.5 pt.]
3. Prove that the INITIALISATION event establishes the invariants. You do not need to prove invariant establishment for the invariants related with the type of the variables, such as  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . [1 pt.]
4. Prove that the Progress event preserves the invariants. You do not need to prove invariant preservation for the invariants related with the type of the variables, such as  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . [1 pt.]
5. Prove that the invariants and axioms you decided to use makes it possible to determine that the model is correct w.r.t. the initial specification, i.e., that the sequent

$$A_{1\dots l}, I_{1\dots m}, G_{\text{Finish}} \vdash r = n^2$$

is valid.  $A_{1\dots l}$  represent the axioms of the model,  $I_{1\dots m}$  represent the invariants of the model and  $G_{\text{Finish}}$  is the guard of the Finish event. [0.5 pt.]

Use sequent calculus for the proofs, as we did with in the classroom slides.

## 4 Russian Multiplication

Given constants  $a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and variables  $x \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the (sequential) events shown below are expected to calculate  $r = a \times b$  when  $x$  reaches the value 0:

```

Event INIT                                Event Finish
  x,y,r := a,b,0                          when x = 0
end                                         then skip
                                           end

Event ProgressOdd                          Event ProgressEven
  when (x > 0 ∧ x mod 2 = 1)              when (x > 0 ∧ x mod 2 = 0)
  then                                     then
    r, x, y := r + y, x ÷ 2, y × 2        x, y := x ÷ 2, y × 2
end                                         end

```

where '÷' means integer division. We assume here that we know how to divide, but only by two (i.e., we can right-shift bits).

The type axioms and invariants are:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 A_1: a \in \mathbb{N} & I_1: x \in \mathbb{N} \\
 A_2: b \in \mathbb{N} & I_2: y \in \mathbb{N} \\
 & I_3: r \in \mathbb{N}
 \end{array}$$

However, these are not enough to prove correctness. You have to find out an invariant  $I_4(a, b, x, y, r)$  for the model such that:

1. When the event `Finish` is enabled (i.e., when  $x = 0$ ), it implies that  $r = a \times b$ :

$$A_1, A_2, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, G_{\text{Finish}} \vdash r = a \times b$$

Determine the invariant and prove the sequent.

[1 pt.]

2. Prove that  $I_4$  is an inductive invariant, e.g., that the sequents

$$A_1(c), A_2(c), I_{1..4}(v, c), G_i(v, c) \vdash I_4(E_i(v, c), c)$$

are true for all guards  $G_i$  and events  $E_i$  that change the state of the model (i.e., for `INIT`, `ProgressEven`, and `ProgressOdd`).

[2.5 pt.]

Use sequent calculus for the proofs, as we did with in the classroom slides.