

Event B: Sets, Relations, Functions, Arithmetic¹

Manuel Carro

manuel.carro@upm.es

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid &
IMDEA Software Institute

¹ Many slides borrowed from J. R. Abrial: see http://wiki.event-b.org/index.php/Event-B_Language

Sets	s. 3
Relations	s. 8
Functions	s. 13
Strict societies	s. 14
Arithmetic	s. 17

- Event-B formal reasoning is built based on:
 - First-order logic inference rules (seen).
 - Set theory (to be touched upon).
- Set theory as a foundation for relations, functions (and, therefore, data structures).
 - Proofs often reduced to proving goals on sets.
- We will briefly see how this is intuitively done.

- A **set** is a well-defined collection of distinct objects.
- Set theory is based on the **membership** predicate

$$E \in S$$

- E is an expression, S is a set.

There are three basic constructs in set theory, defined by **equivalences**.
S and T are **sets**, x is a **variable**, P is a **predicate**, F is an **expression**.

Cartesian product: $S \times T$

$$E \mapsto F \in S \times T \equiv E \in S \wedge F \in T$$

Powerset: $\mathbb{P}(T)$

$$S \in \mathbb{P}(T) \equiv \forall x \cdot x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T$$

Comprehension:

Version 1: $\{x \mid x \in S \wedge P(x)\}$

$$E \in \{x \mid x \in S \wedge P(x)\} \equiv E \in S \wedge P(E)$$

Version 2: $\{x \cdot x \in S \wedge P(x) \mid F(x)\}$

$$E \in \{x \cdot x \in S \wedge P(x) \mid F(x)\} \equiv \exists x \cdot x \in S \wedge P(x) \wedge E = F(x)$$

$$\{1, 2, 3\} \times \{a, b\} = \{1 \mapsto a, 1 \mapsto b, 2 \mapsto a, 2 \mapsto b, 3 \mapsto a, 3 \mapsto b\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \emptyset\}$$

$$\{x \mid x \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\} \wedge x \bmod 2 = 0\} = \{2, 4\}$$

$$\{x \cdot x \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\} \wedge x \bmod 2 = 1 \mid x^2\} = \{25, 9\}$$

Reminder: $A \mapsto B$ is a **tuple**.

It is sometimes written as (A, B) in other formalisms.

Shortcut: $m..n \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq x \wedge x \leq n\}$

- $\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \wedge x < 2\} \times 8..10$

- $\{x \cdot x \in 3..5 \mid x \mapsto x * x\}$

- $\{n \cdot n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (0..n) \mapsto n\}$

$$S \subseteq T \equiv S \in \mathbb{P}(T)$$

$$S = T \equiv S \subseteq T \wedge T \subseteq S$$

$$S \cup T \equiv \{x \mid x \in S \vee x \in T\}$$

$$S \cap T \equiv \{x \mid x \in S \wedge x \in T\}$$

$$S \setminus T \equiv \{x \mid x \in S \wedge x \notin T\}$$

$$E \in \{a, \dots, z\} \equiv E = a \vee \dots \vee E = z$$

$$E \in \emptyset \equiv \perp$$

- Operators based on membership and logic operations.
- Note: $E \notin T \equiv \neg(E \in T)$.
- Also: generalized / conditional union and intersection (see reference cards).

- A **binary relation** $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ is a subset of their Cartesian product: $r \subseteq S \times T$
- Different syntax to highlight structure.
- $S \leftrightarrow T$: **all** (= the set of) the possible relations between S and T .
 - r would be one of them.
- $r \in \{\text{meat, fish, pasta, bacon}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{carbs, protein, fat}\}$ – write a couple of relations.
- $\text{dom}(r), \text{ran}(r)$, relation with S and T
- How many different r may there be?

- $r \in 1..3 \leftrightarrow 7..11$

- $r = \{1 \mapsto 10, 2 \mapsto 7, 2 \mapsto 11\}$

- $4 \mapsto 10 \notin r$

$$x \in \text{dom}(r) \equiv \exists y \cdot x \mapsto y \in r$$

$$y \in \text{ran}(r) \equiv \exists x \cdot x \mapsto y \in r$$

$$r^{-1} \equiv \{y \mapsto x \mid x \mapsto y \in r\}$$

Total	$S \leftrightarrow T$	$r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge \text{dom}(r) = S$
Surjective	$S \leftrightarrow T$	$r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge \text{ran}(r) = T$
Both	$S \leftrightarrow T$	$r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge r \in S \leftrightarrow T$

Hint: sets and relations are very useful modeling tools!

Domain restriction	$S \triangleleft r$	$\{x \mapsto y \in r \mid x \in S\}$
Domain subtraction	$S \triangleleft r$	$\{x \mapsto y \in r \mid x \notin S\}$
Range restriction	$r \triangleright T$	$\{x \mapsto y \in r \mid y \in T\}$
Range subtraction	$r \triangleright T$	$\{x \mapsto y \in r \mid y \notin T\}$

Assume $Prey \in Animal \leftrightarrow Animal$.

We mean $hunter \mapsto hunted$. The syntax of the relation does not reveal its intended semantics.

- $Mammal \triangleleft Prey$
- $Mammal \triangleleft Prey$
- $Prey \triangleright Spiders$
- $Fish \triangleleft (Prey \triangleright Spiders)$
- $Spiders \triangleleft (Prey \triangleright Spiders)$

Image	$r[S]$	$\{y \mid x \mapsto y \in r \wedge x \in S\}$
Composition	$p; q$	$\{x \mapsto z \mid x \mapsto y \in p \wedge y \mapsto z \in q\}$
Overriding	$p \triangleleft q$	$q \cup (\text{dom}(q) \triangleleft p)$
Identity	$\text{id}(S)$	$\{x \mapsto x \mid x \in S\}$

Overriding:

- Take q , and add the tuples from p whose lhs are not already in q .
- Or, take p and add q , overriding the tuples with the same lhs.

Some useful results, definitions

$(r^{-1})^{-1} = r$	$r = r^{-1}$	symmetric
$\text{dom}(r^{-1}) = \text{ran}(r)$	$r \cap r^{-1} = \emptyset$	asymmetric
$(S \triangleleft r)^{-1} = r^{-1} \triangleright S$	$\text{id}(S) \subseteq r$	reflexive
$(p; q)^{-1} = q^{-1}; p^{-1}$	$r; r \subseteq r$	transitive
$p; (q; r) = (p; q); r$		
$p; (q \cup r) = (p; q) \cup (p; r)$		
$(p; q)[S] = q[p[S]]$		
$r[S \cup T] = r[S] \cup r[T]$		

Set-theoretic notation **more readable** than predicate calculus

$$r = r^{-1} \equiv \forall x, y \cdot x \in S \wedge y \in S \Rightarrow (x \mapsto y \in r \Leftrightarrow y \mapsto x \in r)$$

- Functions: one type of relations.
- Notation: $f(x) = y \equiv x \mapsto y \in f$.
- Every element in domain relates only to one element in range.

$$x \mapsto y \in f \wedge x \mapsto z \in f \Rightarrow y = z$$

- WD conditions:
 - $f \in S \twoheadrightarrow T$
 - $x \in \text{dom}(f)$
- Using right type of function allows different proofs.

Total function ($\text{dom}(f) = S$) $S \rightarrow T$

Partial function $S \twoheadrightarrow T$

Injection: if $f(x) = f(y)$, then $x = y$.

Partial injection $S \twoheadrightarrow T$

Total injection $S \rightarrow T$

Surjection: $f \in S \leftrightarrow T, \text{ran}(f) = T$.

Partial surjection $S \twoheadrightarrow T$

Total surjection $S \rightarrow T$

Bijection $S \twoheadrightarrow T$

An example of functions and relations: a strict society

- Every person is either a man or a woman.
- No person is man and woman at the same time.
- Only women have husbands, who must be men.
- Woman have at most one husband.
- Men have at most one wife.
- Mother are married women.

An example of functions and relations: a strict society

Every person is man or woman

No person is man and woman

Women have husbands (men)

At most one husband per woman

Men at most one wife

Mother are married women

$$men \subseteq PERSON$$

$$women = PERSON \setminus men$$

$$husband \in women \rightsquigarrow men$$

$$mother \in PERSON \rightarrow \text{dom}(husband)$$

Let us derive some relations (Double check with Rodin)

$$wife = husband^{-1}$$

$$spouse = husband \cup wife$$

$$father = mother; husband$$

$$children = (mother \cup father)^{-1}$$

$$daughter = children \triangleright women$$

$$sibling = (children^{-1}; children) \setminus \text{id}(PERSON)$$

$$brother = men \triangleright sibling$$

mother = *father*; *wife*
spouse = *spouse*⁻¹
father; *father*⁻¹ = *mother*; *mother*⁻¹
father; *mother*⁻¹ = ∅
mother; *father*⁻¹ = ∅
father; *children* = *mother*; *children*
sibling = *sibling*⁻¹
cousin = *cousin*⁻¹

- The usual (+, -, *, ÷) plus: mod, ^ (power).
- card(set), min(set), max(set)